# PATENT COOPERATION TRIPEC'D PCT/PTO 26 SEP 2008 PCT 20/553475

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FE6101 PGU	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2004/004003	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 April 2004 (14.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 April 2003 (17.04.2003) ]
International Patent Classification (IPC 7 C08F 10/06	C) or national classification and IPC	
Applicant BASELL POLYOLEFINE GMBH		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority ·	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.			gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but or Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 21 October 2005 (21.10.2005)
	The International Bures		Authorized officer
	34, chemin des Colo 1211 Geneva 20, Sw		Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From						REC'D 2 6 AU	G 2004
NTE	RNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AUTHO	DRITY		DOT	WIPO	P(
То:				PCI			
	see form F	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION	PCT Rule 43	HING AUTHO bis.1)	RITY
Appl	cant's or agent's file	reference		FOR FURTUER	AOTION		
	form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER A			
	national application N F/EP2004/004003		International filing date (c	lay/month/year)	Priority date (da 17.04.2003	y/month/year)	
	national Patent Class F10/06	sification (IPC) or l	both national classification	and IPC			
Appli BAS	cant SELL POLYOLES	FINE GMBH					
1.	This opinion co	ntains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:			
	⊠ Box No. I		-	- 0			
	Box No. II	Basis of the op Priority	MINION				
	Box No. III	•	nent of opinion with rega	and to povolty inventi	vo etan and indu	etrial applicability	İ
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	•	ato to noverty, inventi	ve step and mod	strial applicasinty	
	Box No. V	Reasoned stat	ement under Rule 43 <i>bls</i> tations and explanations	:.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such stat	novelty, inventiv	ve step or industria	ı
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	*				
	☑ Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	lication			
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACT	ON					
	written opinion of the applicant cho	f the Internation poses an Author reau under Rule	liminary examination is r al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written o	g Authority ("IPEA"). I b be the IPEA and the	However, this do chosen IPEA ha	es not apply where as notifed the	e
	submit to the IPE	EA a written repl date of mailing	ove, considered to be a y together, where appro of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	priate, with amendme	ents, before the e	expiration of three	·
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.				
3.	For further detail	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Nam	e and mailing addres	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			.0-1



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Droghetti, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4143



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004003

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	at of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filling/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	nal comments:

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004003

Вс	ox No. II Priority				
. 🛛	The following document has not been furnished:				
	☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).				
	Consequently it has not been nevertheless been establish	en possible to consided to help to the consideration the assumption of the constant of the con	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
. Ad	dditional observations, if neces	ssary:	•		
	ox No. V Reasoned staten dustrial applicability; citation	nent under Rule 43 ons and explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
1. Statement					
Nc	ovelty (N)	Yes: Claims	3-11		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	No: Claims	1,2,12		
In	ventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims			
	,	No: Claims	1-12		
In	dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-12		
		No: Claims			
Ci	tations and explanations				
se	ee separate sheet				
	ox No. VII Certain defects	in the internationa	l application		
Вс					
	ollowing defects in the form o	r contents of the inte	ernational application have been noted:		
he fo	ollowing defects in the form o	r contents of the inte	ernational application have been noted:		

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/004003

#### Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US A 5759940

(cited by the applicant as WO95/26369)

D2: WO A 01/44319

(cited by the applicant)

D3: US A 5589549

D4: EP A 0519342

D5:US A 4399054

(cited by the applicant)

#### 1. Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

1.1. The subject-matter of claims 1,2,12 is not novel in view of D2 and D3. The reasons as follows.

D2 and D3 disclose a polymerisation process for olefin (e.g. propylene) carried out in the presence of at least one metallocene supported on a porous polymer and partially carried out in the presence of hydrogen as claimed in present claims 1 and 2 (see search report).

Note that the term "porous polymer" in present claim 1 is a relative and vague term, which does not specify the degree or the nature of the porosity (e.g.porosity on the polymer surface, or on the core). Thus also the polymers prepared in D2 and D3, prepared from a porous prepolymers could mantain a certain degree of porosity according to claim 1.

The same consideration can apply to the wording "total porosity" in claim 12.

This product claim 12 furthermore appears to be not novel in view of D2 and D3 for the following reasons. In D2 and D3 the propylene polymers present narrow MWD and melting points as claimed. In D2 the MWD is not explicitly mentioned but a supported metallocene is used, thus a narrow MWD can be supposed. In D3 no melting point of the polymers is defined, but it is known that propylene polymers have normally Tm higher than 100°C.

Thus the subject-matter of claims 1,2,12 is not novel in view of D2 and D3.

1.2. The subject-matter of claims 3-11 is novel in view of D2-D3 for the following reasons.

D2-D3 describe polymerization processes using the same catalyst systems (supported metallocenes on organic porous polymers) but different polymerization conditions for the preparation of the olefin polymers, wherein the use of hydrogen as claimed during the polymerisation stage with the metallocenes is not explicitly disclosed (see search report).

Thus the subject-matter of claims 3-11 is novel in view of D2-D3.

#### 2. Inventive Step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

The subject-matter of claims 1-12 does not involve an inventive step in view of D1-D5 for the following

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/004003

reasons.

- 2.1. Being not novel, the subject-matter of claims 1,2,12 cannot be regarded as inventive either.
- 2.2. Furthermore as for claims 3-11, documents D2 and D3 are considered as the closest prior art and disclose polymerisation processes as mentioned above (see 1.1. and also D1, search report)).

The present application differs from D1-D3 in that the propylene polymerisation catalysed by a supported metallocene is carried out in the presence of hydrogen.

According to the present examples on file the technical effect deriving from the use of the distinguishing feature above (hydrogen added during the polymerisation with supported metallocene) results in the increasing porosity and in the decreasing bulk density of the final propylene polymer (see examples on file, tables 2 and 3) over the priort art (see comparative example 1 on file, table 2).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the provision of a polymerisation process for preparing propylene polymers having increased porosity and decreased bulk density.

The solution proposed in claims 3-11 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

The use of hydrogen during the polymerisation of olefins in the presence of supported Z-N catalyst in order to get porous polymers is well known in the art.

In D4 and D5, porous propylene polymers are disclosed from polymerisation processes carried out in the presence of comparable Z-N supported catalyst and hydrogen (see examples in D4 and D5 and search report).

Note that even in D1-D3 the first part of the polymerisation, wherein a porous polymer is needed, is carried out in the presence of hydrogen, (see examples in D1-D3 and search report).

Therefore the skilled person trying to prepare a porous propylene polymer and starting from D1-D3 would have found a hint in D4-D5 and D1-D3 as well, to add hydrogen to the polymerisation system in order to solve the problem.

Thus the subject-matter of claims 3-11 does not involve an inventive step.

3. The subject-matter of claims 1-12 meets the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT, with regard to industrial applicability.

#### Re Item VII.

1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(II) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/004003

documents D3 and D4 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

#### Re Item VIII.

The following clarity objections are raised (Article 6 PCT).

- 1. Although claims 1 and 3 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 2. The term "porous" referring to the propylene polymer in claim 1 is a relative term and renders the claim unclear (see claim 12).
- 3. The standard methods or at least the conditions for measuring the porosity and the MWD of the polymer (claims 5,6,12) are not mentioned in the claims (see page 13 of the description).
- 4. The publication number of the patent application PCT/EP02/13371 on page 1 is not mentioned.